25

A I know I didn't speak with Jeff Ramirez.

Q Now, there's another portion of the two exhibits that I would like to compare and talk with you about. The first is from SFUSD Exhibit 21. It's page 5 and it is the portion that begins after the "a" and then the close paren "details."

A One moment.

"A very large number of KALW's programs contains significant treatments οf issues importance in the San Francisco community. such as City Visions, which explores issues relating to health care, the environment, the economy and government in the Bay area; Your Legal Rights, AIDS Update and Outright Radio as well as many individual public affairs and documentary programs (including the Board of Education meetings which are broadcast live) provide significant treatment of public issues of great importance to the community including but not limited to the public education of its children.

Although the present management of KALW was unable to find discrete specifically prepared programs lists such as the attached list for the last quarter of 1997 for the period in question, what they found instead however for each quarter of the period in question was a copy of KALW's Quarterly Program

1	Guide. The Program Guide provides all the required
2	information regarding programs that provide
3	significant treatment of issues of public importance
4	during the quarter, including the time, date, title
5	and duration of all such programs.
6	Also included in this file for each
7	quarter in the period are lists of issues of public
8	importance that received significant treatment in
9	programs provided to KALW by National Public Radio.
10	SFUSD believes that all these materials
11	were also present in the file on August 1, 1997 and
12	that they constitute another basis for recognizing
13	compliance with the requirements of then §73.3527."
14	Now, when we look at EB Exhibit 34 at page
15	5
16	MR. DUNCAN: Page 5 or page 6.
17	MR. SHOOK: Beginning at page 5. Down at
18	the bottom, the paragraph that begins with "However."
19	MR. DUNCAN: Go ahead. Sorry.
20	BY MR. SHOOK:
21	Q And the paragraph that I'm interested in
22	reads as follows: "However, when KALW's present
23	management reviewed the issues/programs list file for
24	the period in question in connection with making its
25	response to the Bureau's inquiry letter they did not

22

23

24

25

find for each and every quarter during that period specifically prepared lists with respect to all locally produced programs, but only the nationally produced NPR issues/programs lists.

from the Also missing file was the original of an issues/programs list for the program City Visions covering the last quarter of 1997. list had been faxed to counsel in January 1998 just prior to the original being placed in the issues/ list programs file but was no longer there. Fortunately, counsel retained a faxed copy which has been enclosed as an exhibit to inquiry response 2.

"SFUSD and KALW's present management," in case you're wondering I'm continuing to read on page 6.

A Okay.

Q "Are unable to explain what may have happened to this or any other missing lists with locally produced programs. respect to its Significantly, however, KALW management has discovered that the public inspection file is presently missing one other particular document which should have been there, three page listing that summarized significant local issues that had been presented by KALW program producers during the period from June

1	1995 through July 1995. This list had been in the
2	file in July 1997. In fact, a copy of the document in
3	question had been provided to the Commission as an
4	attachment to Golden Gate Public Radio's Petition to
5	Deny (See Exhibit O to the Petition to Deny filed
6	November 3, 1997 but served on SFUSD on December 11,
7	1997).
8	In an affidavit attached to that pleading
9	Jason Lopez asserted that he found the document in
10	KALW's public inspection file on July 28, 1997. SFUSD
11	finds it curious and disturbing that this and other
12	documents seem to be missing at the present time from
13	its public inspection file and cannot account for
14	these strange discrepancies."
15	Now, in terms of the version that was
16	actually sent to the Commission, that's EB Exhibit 34,
17	from the portion that I just read to you first of all,
18	did you have any roll in providing the information
19	that appears in the portion of EB 34 that I just read
20	to you?
21	MR. DUNCAN: Your Honor, can we
22	(Laughter).
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes? We'll go off the
24	record.
25	(Whereupon, at 10:04 a.m. off the record

1 \	until 10:17 a.m.)
2	MR. SHOOK: Given the delay, I'm afraid
3	I'm just going to start over with where I was. And in
4	fact, as a result of the delay, I had an opportunity
5	to figure out that I actually read the wrong portion
6	from SFUSD Exhibit 21.
7	(Laughter).
8	MR. SHOOK: So, in fairness to the
9	witness, I'm going to start over.
10	MR. DUNCAN: No one else noticed.
11	MR. SHOOK: You would have picked it up
12	eventually.
13	MS. LEAVITT: How would you know.
14	MR. SHOOK: All right.
15	BY MR. SHOOK:
16	Q In any event, the portion of SFUSD 21 that
17	I want to focus on right now and that's the draft, is
18	in response to the question 2 first portion of it
19	which says "Issues/programs lists first inquiry on
20	August 1, 1997 KALW public inspection file contained
21	all the issues/program lists required by then
22	§73.3627."
23	The second paragraph of that response
24	reads as follows
25	A I'm sorry. Can you tell me what page
	1

"However,

the

1 you're on? 2 Yes. That appears on the top of page 5 of 3 SFUSD Exhibit 21, it's the first full paragraph. 4 Α Is that the paragraph that says "However, 5 when...?" 6 Yes. And it reads as follows: 7 when KALW's present management reviewed 8 issues/programs lists for the period in question in 9 connection with its inquiry by the Bureau, they did 10 not find any such lists in that file. Also missing 11 from the file was the original of an issues/programs 12 list for the program City Visions for the last quarter 13 of 1997. That list (a copy of which is enclosed) had 14 been faxed to counsel in January 1998 prior to the 15 original being placed in the issues.programs list 16 file. 17 KALW's present management and SFUSD are 18 unable to explain what may have happened to the missing lists." 19 20 The version that ultimately was sent to 21 the Commission, EB 34, that portion of the letter 22 which begins at the bottom of page 5 and carries over 23 to page 6 reads as follows: "However, "However, when

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

KALW's present management reviewed the issues/programs

list file for the period in question in connection

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with making its response to the Bureau's inquiry letter they did not find for each and every quarter during that period specifically prepared lists with respect to all locally produced programs, but only the nationally produced NPR issues/programs lists.

from the Also missing file was the original of an issues/programs list for the program City Visions covering the last quarter of 1997. That list had been faxed to counsel in January 1998 just prior to the original being placed in the issues/ file list but no longer there. programs was Fortunately, counsel retained a faxed copy which has been enclosed as an exhibit to inquiry response 2."

New paragraph. "SFUSD and KALW's present management are unable to explain what may have happened to this or any other missing lists with respect to its locally produced programs. Significantly, however, KALW management has discovered that the public inspection file is presently missing one other particular document which should have been listing that summarized there, three page significantly local issues that had been presented by KALW program producers during the period from June 1995 through July 1997. This list had been in the file in July 1997. In fact, a copy of the document in

question had been provided to the Commission as an attachment to Golden Gate Public Radio's Petition to Deny (See Exhibit 0 to the Petition to Deny filed November 3, 1997 but served on SFUSD on December 11, 1997).

In an affidavit attached to that pleading Jason Lopez asserted that he found the document in KALW's public inspection file on July 28, 1997. SFUSD finds it curious and disturbing that this and other documents seem to be missing at the present time from its public inspection file and cannot account for these strange discrepancies."

Now, first of all, I take it that you noticed that the one paragraph that appeared in SFUSD Exhibit 21 has been expanded to two paragraphs in EB Exhibit 34? You noticed that?

A Yes, I see that it's two paragraph.

Q Now, in terms of the reference in EB Exhibit 34 which the first sentence that I read to you from that reads: "However, when KALW's present management reviewed the issues/programs list file for the period in question in connection" and then there appears to be a word missing "making its response to the Bureau's inquiry letter, they did not find for each and every quarter during that period specifically

20

21

22

23

24

25

prepared lists with respect to all locally produced programs, but only nationally produced NPR issues/ programs, program lists."

Now, is that sentence accurate?

A I would have to say that that sentence in the -- you're talking about in the final that was -- the sentence that appears here in the final?

Q Yes, sir.

Is -- is not accurate. I had spoken -- I had spoken to the attorneys subsequent to the FCC's letter, I had as it says here inspected the file, told them what I had found -- what condition I had found and what documents -- what I had found in the file. If I -- as I think I stated just earlier this morning, you know, I hadn't -- I hadn't seen a copy of the final before it apparently was filed. received a copy and been able to review it, I believe I would have caught that mistake. I certainly at no time told our attorneys that that's what I found in the file when I initially looked in it, the NRP programs list. The NPR programs lists were in there because I know that as part of the work I was doing in February and March, I mean we -- we went and collected that data and put it in as well as we could to bring the file up to date now, which is what the attorneys

<u> </u>	nad requested that r do.
2	Q Now, did there come a time when you did
3	read the letter that was sent to the Commission, EF
4	Exhibit 34?
5	A I don't at this time recall the first time
6	that I saw it. I do recall, and certainly ir
7	preparing for this hearing, I reviewed it along with
8	the draft. But I can't say that I saw it before it
9	was filed or and I don't know when a copy of the
10	final copy was delivered to the radio station.
11	Q Now the second paragraph of what I read to
12	you from EB 34 goes on to state that the KALW
13	management has discovered that the public inspection
14	file is presently missing and then it goes on to say
15	what it was that was missing. That was the document
16	that had appeared as Exhibit 0 to the Petition to
17	Deny. And you recall what Exhibit 0 to the Petition
18	to Deny contained?
19	A Before answering that, I'd like to see it.
20	I
21	Q Well, it's described here. Why don't I
22	describe it to you here?
23	A Okay. Yes, I don't I don't I want
24	to
25	Q "A three page listing that summarized
	NEAL D. CDOSS

1	significant local issues that have been presented to
2	   KALW program producers during the period from June
3	1995 through July 1997. In fact, a copy of the
4	document in question had been provided to the
5	Commission as attachment to Golden Gate Public Radio's
6	Petition to Deny (See Exhibit 0 to the Petition to
7	Deny filed November 3, 1997 but served on SFUSD on
8	December 11, 1997.) In an affidavit attached to that
9	pleading Jason Lopez asserted that he found the
10	document in KALW's public inspection file on July 29,
11	1997.
12	My question really is were you the source
13	of the information that that exhibit from Petition to
14	Deny was missing from the KALW public inspection file?
15	MR. DUNCAN: Your Honor, he's asked to see
16	the document. I'd like to show it to him so he knows
17	what we're talking about here, if that's okay.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Certainly.
19	MR. DUNCAN: It is EB Exhibit 5, 3 pages.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay. I've I've reviewed
21	the document.
22	BY MR. SHOOK:
23	Q Right. My question is were you the source
24	of the information for EB Exhibit 34's assertion that
25	the three page document that you have in front of you

1	that was Exhibit 0 to the Petition to Deny was missing
2	from the public file when you started looking at the
3	public file in February or March of 2001?
4	A I not I don't recall if I was the
5	source of that information or if it was someone else
6	who reviewed the public who was reviewing the
7	public file. Although I certainly did review the
8	public file subsequent in I was inspecting the
9	public file in February of '01 certainly. And I
10	reported back to the attorneys what I found and what
11	I didn't find.
12	Q Okay. Well, the sentence reads:
13	"Significantly, however, KALW management has
14	discovered that the public inspection file is
15	presently missing one other particular document which
16	should have been there."
17	Now management at this point you and Ms.
18	Sawaya?
19	A At the station that what was constituted
20	management, yes.
21	Q And does that help you recall whether you
22	were the person who determined that the three page
23	document that we all have come to know Exhibit 0 to
24	the Petition was missing?
25	A Again, I don't recall specifically telling
	II

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

him about any specific piece of paper being there or not being there. I specifically -- I do recall in February letting them know the results of my inspection of the public file subsequent to getting the FCC letter.

Q All right. Yes. What I'm trying to do is focus on the events of April 3, April 4 and April 5. The SFUSD 21 was sent to you and to a number of others on April 3. And you testified that you either received that document late on April 3 or you didn't look at it, receive it and look at it until the morning of April 4.

The letter to the FCC is dated April 5, one or at most two days later. And I just read to you the portion of SFUSD 21 and the information about the missing document was not in the draft. So somehow it made it into the final version and I'm just trying to find out whether you were the person who was the source of the information.

A Well, what I would say is that I was -- I certainly reported from the period of subsequent to getting the FCC's letter in February of '01 when I -- when I spoke with the attorneys and on their counsel inspected the file and reported back to them what I found in the file. Up until that time they may have--

1	they have determined at some point on April 5th to add
2	that in. I may have given them that information
3	regarding Exhibit the three page exhibit in
4	February or March. But I don't recall specifically
5	giving it to them subsequent to getting the draft on
6	April on April 3 or April 4.
7	Q Okay. I'd now like to focus your
8	attention on different portions of the draft and then
9	the letter that went to the FCC. Okay.
10	The portion from SFUSD's Exhibit 21 that
11	I would like to focus your attention on is the
12	response given to the question issues/programs lists,
13	second inquiry, did any lists that were in the file
14	contain information required by §73.4527.
15	A Can you tell me which page you're going to
16	be going to?
17	Q Yes. I'm on page 5. And the part I'm
18	starting to read from is the response that I just
19	mentioned which appears about one-third of the way
20	down the page. It reads as follows: "Response.
21	SFUSD and the present management of KALW believe that
22	all lists in the issues/program list file contain
23	information required by then §73.3527 but, as stated
24	above, cannot not presently account for the missing
25	lists." And then it goes on "A Details. A very large

2

3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

significant number of KALW's programs contain treatments of issues of importance in the Francisco community. Series such as City Visions, which explores issues relating to health care, the environment, the economy and government in the Bay area; Your Legal Rights, AIDS Update and Outright Radio as well as many individual public affairs and documentary programs (including the Board of Education meetings which are broadcast live) provide significant treatment of public issues of great importance to the community including but not limited to the public

education of its children.

Although the present management of KALW was unable to find discrete specifically prepared programs lists such as the attached list for the last quarter of 1997 for the period in question, what they found instead however for each quarter of the period in question was a copy of KALW's Quarterly Program Guide. The Program Guide provides all the required information regarding programs that provide significant treatment of issues of public importance during the quarter, including the time, date, title and duration of all such programs.

Also included in this file for each quarter in the period are lists of issues of public

22

23

24

25

importance that received significant treatment in programs provided to KALW by National Public Radio.

SFUSD believes that all these materials were also present in the file on August 1, 1997 and that they constitute another basis for recognizing compliance with the requirements of then §73.3527."

When you look at EB Exhibit 34 and the portion that I'm going to read to you begins in the middle of page 6 following the inquiry, issues/programs lists second inquiry did any lists that were in the file contain information required by §73.3527? Response: "SFUSD and the present management of KALW believe that its issues/program lists file contained all information required by then §73.3527 but as stated above, cannot presently account for a limited number of lists of significant issues that were treated in locally produced programs.

A. Details. A very large number of KALW's locally produced programs contain significant treatments of issues of importance in the San Francisco community. Series such as City Visions (which explores issues relating to health care, the environment, the economy and government in the Bay area); Your Legal Rights, AIDS Update and Outright Radio as well as many individual public affairs and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

documentary programs (including the Board of Education meetings which are broadcast live) provide significant treatment of public issues of great importance to the community including but not limited to the public education of its children.

Likewise, KALW broadcasts a number of National Public Radio (NPR) and Public Radio International (PRI) programs which, although nationally produced and distributed, treat numerous issues that are of great significance to the people of San Francisco. Lists and other material regarding both categories of programs are placed and maintained in KALW's public file. Thus, while present management of KALW did not find discrete specifically prepared program lists for every quarter during the period in question in a format that fits precisely with the §73.3527(a)(7). The file language used in nevertheless contains (and did contain on August 1, 2001) " which apparently is a typo "the documentation required by the rule and form 303's certification."

The next paragraph "For each quarter of the period in question the file contains at a minimum the copy of KALW's quarterly Program Guide. The Program Guide provides all required information regarding programs, local and national that provided

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	
2	$\ $
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	!!
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	 
21	
22	
23	
24	

during the quarter including the time, date, title and duration of all such programs. Also included in this file for each quarter in the period are lists of issues of public importance that received significant treatment in nationally programs provided to KALW by National Public Radio.

SFUSD believes and averse that these materials were also present in the file on August 1, The NPR lists would in and of themselves be 1997. sufficient to satisfy the rule even without the extensive programming regarding the issues of public importance that was produced KALW between 1991 and Taken together, these materials constitute another basis for recognizing KALW's compliance with the requirements of then §73.3527. They also fully support Ramirez' certification regarding 'documentation required' by the rules"

Did you have any role in editing SFUSD Exhibit 21 the portion that I just read to its final version as it appears in EB Exhibit 34?

A I have no recollect of editing or drafting that response. It was, as far as I know, drafted by our attorneys.

Q Do you recall telling anyone in March or

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

April of 2001 that the NPR material had not been put into the public file into March 2001?

subsequent to getting the FCC Letter of Inquiry, in conversations with them I told them we -- I asked them first of all what are we supposed -- what do you want from me to handle this? What information or what actions do you need from me. I looked in -- I was -- what they told me was the FCC wants to make sure the public file is fine now, complete. And to inspect the public file. And I got back to them after inspecting the public file. And I told them what I found. And what they wanted me to do was bring the file up to date and complete now. And that's -- that is what I did.

The NPR lists that are referred to in here were lists -- were documents that I placed into the file that I didn't find there on my inspection in February. And we collected that data and placed those documents in the file during the period of March 2001. Those documents actually are dated that date as well. And that's what I referred -- that's what I told our attorneys. That is what -- I told them the actions that I was up to and what I did, and they concurred. And if they had told me not to do that, I would have

1	not done it. But and I told them the Program
2	Guides, they they concurred about the Program
3	Guides, that the Program Guides should be in the
4	public file as well. So I put the program I made
5	sure absolutely we had one for every quarter of the
6	period covered right up to current. It didn't stop at
7	'97. They wanted me to make sure that the public file
8	was up to date currently, and that's what I did.
9	I really didn't have I totally relied
10	on them for, you know, my actions regarding this
11	matter. And they took whatever information I reported
12	back to them what I had done. And if they had any
13	input, I I did whatever whatever they input they
14	gave me and followed it back.
15	And so and they used whatever
16	information I gave them to draft the responses that
17	they put in public, as long with what other
18	information they had.
19	Q All right. So to the extent that EB
20	Exhibit 34 asserts that NPR lists were in the file on
21	August 1, 1997, that assertion is incorrect?
22	A No. We I believe the answer that's
23	given there is that management believes that Jeffrey
24	that we would concur with Jeffrey
25	Q Okay. Please listen to my question.

1	A I'm sorry. Yes.
2	Q I just want to make sure I understand.
3	The NPR lists were not in the public file on August 1,
4	1997?
5	MR. DUNCAN: Your Honor, he's attempting
6	to answer the question
7	MR. SHOOK: Okay.
8	MR. DUNCAN: the way Mr. Shook has
9	asked it. If he's asking whether the NPR lists that
10	were added in 2001 were in the file in 1997, I'm sure
11	Mr. Helgeson has answered that question. But Mr.
12	Helgeson has testified that he doesn't know what was
13	in the file in 1997.
14	So I think Mr. Helgeson is struggling with
15	the phrasing of the question because it's not he's
16	talking generally about NPR lists as opposed to about
17	documents, specific documents.
18	MR. SHOOK: I'm perfectly happy if Mr.
19	Helgeson can tell us one way or the other whether NPR
20	lists of any kind were in the public file on August 1,
21	1997, he can say so. If he doesn't know, he can say
22	so.
23	THE WITNESS: I have regarding whether
24	those whether NPR lists in the file on August of
25	1997, that I don't know. I only have the I have the

1	certification of Jeff Ramirez saying that the public
2	file regarding the issues/programs lists was
3	satisfactory with the rules that I had expected he
4	knew of. The documents that were in the file in 2001
5	were there because I had placed them there; those
6	documents were in there.
7	I don't mean at all to infer one way or
8	another whether or not that meant they were or were
9	not in there in 1997. I only have Jeffrey Ramirez'
10	certification that the file was complete and up to
11	date. And my attorneys, the Sanchez attorneys
12	certainly were interacting with Jeff Ramirez at that
13	time in '97.
14	So and since I hadn't spoken with Jeff
15	Ramirez in the period of 2001, I I don't if he had
16	if he would have concurred or not.
17	BY MR. SHOOK:
18	Q So in the sentence that reads: "SFUSD
19	believes and averses that these materials were present
20	in the file in August 1, 1997 are you telling us that
21	your belief was based solely on Mr. Ramirez'
22	certification?
23	A Yes. At this time I in 1997 I had no
24	independent independent inspection of the public
25	file of what or wasn't in there in 1997. So I agree

1	with my only thing I could do is agree with what
2	Jeff had certified to in '97.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Your answer yes would have
4	been more adequate.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
6	BY MR. SHOOK:
7	Q Likewise with respect to the Program
8	Guides, to your understanding is EB 34 telling the
9	Commission that the Program Guides were in the public
10	file on August 1, 1997 for all quarters during the
11	license term?
12	A Again, I only whatever Jeffrey Ramirez
13	asserted in his declaration in his what he
14	certified to in '97 was what we had to go on as far as
15	what was in the files in '97. We had no reason to
16	believe that Jeffrey I had no reason to believe
17	that Jeff was incorrect at that time.
18	Q So you never specifically told either Ms.
19	Jenkins or Mr. Sanchez that Program Guides were in the
20	public file on August 1, 1997?
21	A I would not have told them that because I
22	had no way of I didn't inspect the file in 1997 so
23	I couldn't in 2001 say that they were or weren't,
24	since I didn't inspect the file at in '97.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: I think his knowledge of

1	the '97 condition of the file is exhausted. Can we
2	find another to go into or
3	MR. SHOOK: Yes.
4	BY MR. SHOOK:
5	Q I'd like to now read to you, and you
6	certainly read it to yourself, the last paragraph that
7	appears on page 7 of EB Exhibit 34.
8	A Okay.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: We can go off the record
10	while he's reading.
11	(Whereupon, at 10:48 a.m. off the record
12	until 10:50 a.m.)
13	BY MR. SHOOK:
14	Q The paragraph makes reference to several
15	things that are going to be submitted in support of
16	the letter, and one of the documents that was to be
17	submitted was the KALW April, May, June 1997 Program
18	Guides.
19	Now, we'd already discussed briefly SFUSD
20	Exhibits 10 and 11 which reflected that you had faxed
21	and Fed Ex'ed a Program Guide to Mr. Sanchez, do you
22	recall that?
23	A Yes. I saw those.
24	Q Now, I want to direct your attention to EB
25	Exhibit 34, the attachment that is being referenced
	NEAL D. ODOGO

1	beginning at page 41, which appears to be the KALW
2	Program Guide for April, May and June 1997.
3	A One moment. Yes.
4	Q Now, do you see the Program Guide that
5	follows beginning at page 42 of the Exhibit?
6	A Page 42? Yes.
7	Q This one that says "Will the circle be
8	unbroken."
9	A Yes. Yes.
10	Q You got that?
11	A Yes, I do.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: You got to give him more
13	directions.
14	BY MR. SHOOK:
15	Q You notice from looking at page 42 of EB
16	Exhibit 34 that it does not have "Bill's Copy" written
17	on it?
18	A I don't see the words "Bill's Copy" on
19	this one, no.
20	Q And does that help you recall whether the
21	version of the Program Guide that you sent to Mr.
22	Sanchez or Ms. Jenkins for inclusion with EB Exhibit
23	34 did or did not have "Bill's Copy" written on it?
24	A I don't recall if that was if we
25	when I what I faxed them was Bill's Copy, the one